



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,128	10/12/2000	James P. Romano	438P786	8083
75	590 06/16/2003 -			
George R. McGuire HANCOCK & ESTABROOK, LLP 1500 MONY TOWER 1			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LEE	
PO BOX 4976 Syracuse, NY 13221-4976			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	Q
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/689,128	ROMANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LEE NGUYEN	2682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🗌 🗆	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re	rademark Office ov. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 09/689,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

 The IDS filed 10/12/2000 has been considered and recorded in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seiderman (US 5,850,599).

Regarding claim 1, Seiderman teaches a portable credit card verification apparatus comprising: a cellular radio/telephone 646 having a built-in power supply for operation over a limited time period (col. 25, lines 59-61); a data terminal including credit card entry means (644, fig. 8); a cellular interface 642 through which said radio/telephone 646 and said data terminal 644 are operatively connected; a rechargeable DC battery 732

Art Unit: 2682

external to both said radio/telephone and said data terminal (fig. 12); and wiring connecting said DC battery 732 to both said radio/telephone and said data terminal to supply power to extend the limited time period (fig. 12, connection between 732 and 646 and 645).

Regarding claim 11, Seiderman teaches a portable apparatus for wireless transmission and verification of credit card data, said apparatus comprising: a cellular radio/telephone 424 (credit card interface integrated, fig. 6, col. 24, lines 30-61); a data terminal 410 including a microcontroller 440; inherently a power supply providing the power to the apparatus; and wiring connecting said radio/telephone directly to said microcontroller for digital communication of data directly between said terminal and radio/telephone (col. 24, lines 30-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/689,128

Art Unit: 2682

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiderman in view of Meads (US 5,272,747).

Regarding claims 2-3, Seiderman does not teach at least one peripheral device including a printer connected to the data terminal and using the DC battery power supply. In an analogous art, Meads teaches an interface for connecting peripherals including computer, fax machines (col. 8, line 2) and printer (col. 8, line 35) using power from the mobile pay phone connector. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2682

made to also include a printer to the apparatus of Seiderman in order to provide receipts as required.

Page 5

Regarding claim 4, Seiderman as modified also teaches magnetically coded data (col. 8, line 51, Visa, MasterCard).

Regarding claims 5-10, Seiderman further teaches arrangement of the radio telephone, the interface, the DC battery and the plate as shown in figures 9 and 10. Seiderman does not teach specific arrangement of the components as claimed and the size of the plate. Shifting different locations of parts as well as changing in size is not significant to the claimed invention because it would not modify the operation of the apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shift the location of parts and the alter the size of the plate as required in order to neatly organize the apparatus.

Regarding claim 12, the claims is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 3.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute)

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/689,128

Art Unit: 2682

so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1 of copending Application No. 10/067,462. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claims 1 and 11, claim 1 of U.S. Patent'462 teaches a portable credit card verification apparatus comprising: a cellular radio/telephone (wireless transceiver); a data terminal including credit card entry means (see data terminal); inherently a cellular interface through which said radio/telephone and said data terminal are operatively connected; a rechargeable DC battery external to both said radio/telephone

Art Unit: 2682

and said data terminal (see rechargeable DC battery); and wiring connecting said DC battery to both said radio/telephone and said data terminal to supply power to extend the limited time period (see wiring connecting).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703)-308-5249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN CHIN can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2682

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

LEE NGUYEN CON 6/6/07
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2682